## Proposed Course Scheduling Model

## Background

In response to course scheduling concerns and space utilization issues a committee was asked to:

- Survey students and faculty (preferences/concerns)
- Review FAU's current scheduling model and policies
- Research SUS and peer institution scheduling models and practices
- Make recommendations to improve or modify scheduling and related processes, policies and procedures based upon survey and findings.


## Survey Results: Student Time Preferences

|  | Morning | Afternoon | Evening | Online |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | Weekends

## Survey Results:

## Faculty and Department Preferences

Class Meeting Preferences (Pedagogical Practices) Undergraduate Courses


■ 3 days per week

- 2 days per week
- 1 day per week

■ online

## Best Practices: Schedules at Other Universities

(24 institutions reviewed including the four highlighted below)

## CUNY Queen College

## UNC Charlotte

## San Jose State University

4 terms /year: Fall, Winter Intersession, Spring,<br>Summer//extensive meeting patterns/NO MWF<br>3 meetings = MTR, MWR, TWF

Mandate $40 \%$ of M_R courses be non-prime hours (8am or after $3: 30 \mathrm{pm}$ ). 20\% of classes must include some type of Friday

Daytime: 3 cr . hr classes—most classes were scheduled two days a week with a fair number offered one day per week. Very few classes were scheduled MWF.
Evening: 3 cr . hr classes—meet one night a week 4 cr. hr. Math classes-schedule to meet MTWR for 50 minutes each day or MTW for 1 hr 10 min .

Daytime: 3 cr . hr classes-Fairly even distribution of MW, TR and MWF sections. Some classes offered 1 day a week Evening: 3 cr . hr classes -most meet one night per week with some meeting 2 .

## Issues of Current Scheduling Procedures

- Programs compete for limited space at peak days/times
- Course scheduling fails to meet needs of multi-modal population (e.g., evening courses for working students, distance courses) $\leftarrow \rightarrow$ limited course access
- Delayed degree completion and students to seek courses elsewhere ( $\rightarrow$ transient requests)
- BOT and BOG concern with under utilization of facilities evening and Fridays resulting in a possible limits on funding for renovation and new construction.
- Poor performance on BOG metrics


## Goals of Proposed Scheduling Model

- Provide more scheduling alternatives/patterns while being mindful of pedagogical soundness.
- Maximize available course space
- Increase student access to courses
- Introduce moderate degree of change (simplify and phase-in transition)


# Proposed New Scheduling Model and Policies 

## Current Model vs. Proposed Model

| Current Scheduling Patterns <br> (in general scheduled classrooms) |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| $2 \times$ per week <br> (3 cr. hr.) | Tuesday / Thursday <br> (standard start-times) |
| $2 \times$ per week <br> (3 cr. hr.) | Monday/Wed. <br> (4pm-later) |
| $3 \times$ per week <br> (3 cr. hr.) | Monday / Wednesday / <br> Friday |
| $1 \times$ per week <br> (3 or 4 cr. hr.) | Monday / Tuesday / <br> Wednesday / Thursday <br> or Friday (1pm and after) |

## Proposed New Scheduling Model (in general scheduled classrooms)

| $2 \times$ per week | Tuesday / Thursday |
| :--- | :--- |
| (3 cr. hr.) | Wednesday / Friday <br> (standard start-times) |

2 x per week Monday/Wed.
(3:30pm-Iater)
\(\left.$$
\begin{array}{l|l}\hline 3 \times \text { per week } \\
\text { (3 cr. hr.) }\end{array}
$$ \quad \begin{array}{l}Monday / Wednesday / <br>

Friday\end{array}\right]\)| 1 x per week |
| :--- |
| (3 or 4 cr. hr.) | | Monday |
| :--- |
| (standard start-time) |
| and | | Tues. Wed. Thurs. Fri. |
| :--- |
| (During the 9am-3pm standard |
| start-times, college will be asked to |
| pair one day a week and hybrid |
| courses (e.g. Pair a Tuesday course |
| Hith a Thursday course of similar |
| capacity). |

## Proposed Scheduling Policy

Proposed College Percentage Thresholds:

- 30\% of classes must have start times that are before 9am or after 3pm.
- $10 \%$ of classes must assign class times that include a Monday, Saturday or Sunday.
- Colleges control how the percentage thresholds are met

Most colleges already meet or closely meet the new thresholds


## Other Proposed Scheduling Recommendations

Mini-mesters: At discretion of departments and colleges

- 8-week sessions (2 per semester)
- $5+5+5$ (3 per semester)

Weekend classes and programs: At discretion of colleges


## Proposed Phased Implementation

Spring 2016 - Proposed schedule model new days/times

Proposed College Percentage Thresholds:
Fall 2015 - 15\% of courses with start-times before 9AM or after 4PM
Spring 2016 - 20\% with start-times before 9AM or after 4PM
Fall 2016 - 30\% of courses with start-times before 9AM or after 4PM

